# Naïve Bayes Classifiers

Doug Downey

Northwestern EECS 349 Spring 2015

# Naïve Bayes Classifiers

- Combines all ideas we've covered
  - Conditional Independence
  - Bayes' Rule
  - Statistical Estimation
- ...in a simple, yet accurate classifier
  - Classifier: Function f(x) from  $X = \{ \langle x_1, ..., x_d \rangle \}$  to Class
  - E.g., X = {<GRE, GPA, Letters>}, Class = {yes, no, wait}



# Probability => Classification (1 of 2)

#### Classification task

- Learn function  $f(\mathbf{x})$  from  $\mathbf{X} = \{\langle x_1, ..., x_d \rangle\}$  to Class
- Given: Examples  $D = \{(x, y)\}$

### Probabilistic Approach

- Learn P(Class =  $y \mid X = x$ ) from D
- Given **x**, pick the maximally probable y



# Probability => Classification (2 of 2)

## More formally

- $f(x) = \arg\max_{y} P(Class = y \mid X = x, \theta_{MAP})$
- $\theta_{MAP}$ : MAP parameters, learned from data
  - ▶ That is, parameters of  $P(Class = y \mid X = x)$
- ...we'll focus on using MAP estimate, but can also use ML or Bayesian
- Predict next coin flip? Instance of this problem
  - ➤ X = null
  - ▶ Given D= hhht...tht, estimate  $P(\theta \mid D)$ , find MAP
  - Predict Class = heads iff  $\theta_{MAP} > \frac{1}{2}$



# Example: Text Classification

#### Dear Sir/Madam,

We are pleased to inform you of the result of the Lottery Winners International programs held on the 30/8/2004. Your e-mail address attached to ticket number: EL-23133 with serial Number: EL-123542, batch number: 8/163/EL-35, lottery Ref number: EL-9318 and drew lucky numbers 7-1-8-36-4-22 which consequently won in the 1st category, you have therefore been approved for a lump sum pay out of US\$1,500,000.00 (One Million, Five Hundred Thousand United States dollars)



SPAM

**NOT SPAM?** 

## Representation

- X = document
- Task: Estimate P(Class = {spam, non-spam} | X)
- Question: how to represent X?
  - Lots of possibilities, common choice: "bag of words"

Dear Sir/Madam, We are pleased to inform you of the result of the Lottery Winners	Sir	ı
International programs held on the 30/8/2004. Your e-mail address attached to ticket number: EL-23133 with serial Number: EL-	Lott	ery I0
123542, batch number: 8/163/EL-35, lottery Ref number: EL-9318 and drew lucky numbers 7-1-8-36-4-22 which consequently won in	→ Doll	ars 7
the 1st category, you have therefore been approved for a lump sum pay out of US\$1,500,000.00 (One Million, Five Hundred Thousand	Wit	h 38
United States dollars)	•••	



# Bag of Words

- ▶ Ignores Word Order, i.e.
  - No emphasis on title
  - No compositional meaning ("Cold War" -> "cold" and "war")
  - Etc.
  - But, massively reduces dimensionality/complexity
- Still and all...
  - Presence or absence of a 100,000-word vocab => 2^100,000 distinct vectors



# Naïve Bayes Classifiers

- ▶  $P(Class \mid X)$  for  $|Val(X)| = 2^100,000$  requires  $2^100,000$  parameters
  - Problematic.
- Bayes' Rule:  $P(Class \mid X) = P(X \mid Class) P(Class) / P(X)$
- Assume presence of word *i* is independent of all other words given *Class*:
  - $P(Class \mid X) = \prod_i P(X_i \mid Class) P(Class) / P(X)$
- ▶ Now only 200,001 parameters for P(Class | X)





# Naïve Bayes Assumption

- ▶ Features are conditionally independent given class
  - Not P("Republican", "Democrat") = P("Republican")P("Democrat") but instead
    P("Republican", "Democrat" | Class = Politics) =
    P("Republican" | Class = Politics)P("Democrat" | Class = Politics)
- Still, an absurd assumption
  - ("Lottery" ⊥ "Winner" | SPAM)? ("lunch" ⊥ "noon" | Not SPAM)?
- But: offers massive tractability advantages and works quite well in practice
  - Lesson: Unrealistically strong independence assumptions sometimes allow you to build an accurate model where you otherwise couldn't



# Getting the parameters from data

- ▶ Parameters  $\theta = \langle \theta_{ij} = P(w_i | Class = j) \rangle$
- Maximum Likelihood: Estimate  $P(w_i | Class = j)$  from D by counting
  - Fraction of documents in class j containing word i
  - ▶ But if word i never occurs in class j?
- Commonly used MAP estimate:
  - (# docs in class j with word i) + I (# docs in class j) + 2



### Caveats

- ▶ Naïve Bayes effective as a classifier
- ▶ **Not** as effective in producing probability estimates
  - $ightharpoonup \Pi_i P(w_i \mid Class)$  pushes estimates toward 0 or 1
- In practice, numerical underflow is typical at classification time
  - Compare sum of logs instead of product



# Reading

- ▶ Elements of Statistical Learning, Ch 7:
  - http://statweb.stanford.edu/~tibs/ElemStatLearn/

