

Naïve Bayes Classifiers

Doug Downey

Northwestern EECS 349: Machine Learning

Fall 2010

Naïve Bayes Classifiers

- Combines all ideas we've covered
 - Conditional Independence
 - Bayes' Rule
 - Statistical Estimation
 - Machine Learning
- ...in a simple, yet empirically powerful classifier
 - Classifier: Function $f(\mathbf{x})$ from $\mathbf{X} = \{<x_1, \dots, x_d>\}$ to *Class*
 - E.g., $\mathbf{X} = \{<GRE, GPA, Letters>\}$, *Class* = {yes, no, wait}

Probability => Classification (1 of 2)

- Classification Task:
 - Learn function $f(\mathbf{x})$ from $\mathbf{X} = \{<x_1, \dots, x_d>\}$ to *Class*
 - Given: Examples $D = \{(\mathbf{x}, y)\}$
- Probabilistic Approach
 - Learn $P(\text{Class} = y \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x})$ from D
 - Given \mathbf{x} , pick the maximally probable y

Probability => Classification (2 of 2)

- More formally
 - $f(\mathbf{x}) = \arg \max_y P(\text{Class} = y \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}, \theta_{\text{MAP}})$
 - θ_{MAP} : MAP parameters, learned from data
 - That is, parameters of $P(\text{Class} = y \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x})$
 - ...we'll focus on using MAP estimate, but can also use ML or Bayesian
- Predict next coin flip? Instance of this problem
 - $X = \text{null}$
 - Given $D = \text{hhht...tth}$, estimate $P(\theta \mid D)$, find MAP
 - Predict $\text{Class} = \text{heads}$ iff $\theta_{\text{MAP}} > \frac{1}{2}$

Example: Text Classification

Dear Sir/Madam,
We are pleased to inform you of the result of the Lottery Winners International programs held on the 30/8/2004. Your e-mail address attached to ticket number: EL-23133 with serial Number: EL-123542, batch number: 8/163/EL-35, lottery Ref number: EL-9318 and drew lucky numbers 7-1-8-36-4-22 which consequently won in the 1st category, you have therefore been approved for a lump sum pay out of US\$1,500,000.00 (One Million, Five Hundred Thousand United States dollars)

• SPAM


NOT SPAM?

Representation

- X = document
- Estimate $P(\text{Class} = \{\text{spam}, \text{non-spam}\} \mid X)$
- Question: how to represent X ?
 - One dimension for each possible e-mail, i.e. possible permutation of words?
 - No.
 - Lots of possibilities, common choice: “bag of words”

Dear Sir/Madam,
We are pleased to inform you of the result of the Lottery Winners International programs held on the 30/8/2004. Your e-mail address attached to ticket number: EL-23133 with serial Number: EL-123542, batch number: 8/163/EL-35, lottery Ref number: EL-9318 and drew lucky numbers 7-1-8-36-4-22 which consequently won in the 1st category, you have therefore been approved for a lump sum pay out of US\$1,500,000.00 (One Million, Five Hundred Thousand United States dollars)

...



Sir	1
Lottery	10
Dollars	7
With	38
...	

Bag of Words

- Ignores Word Order, i.e.
 - No emphasis on title
 - No compositional meaning (“Cold War” -> “cold” and “war”)
 - Etc.
 - But, massively reduces dimensionality/complexity
- Still and all...
 - Recording presence or absence of a 100,000-word vocab entails $2^{100,000}$ distinct vectors

Naïve Bayes Classifiers



- $P(\text{Class} \mid \mathbf{X})$ for $|\text{Val}(\mathbf{X})| = 2^{100,000}$ requires $2^{100,000}$ parameters
 - Problematic.
- Bayes' Rule:
$$P(\text{Class} \mid \mathbf{X}) = P(\mathbf{X} \mid \text{Class}) P(\text{Class}) / P(\mathbf{X})$$
- Assume presence of word i is independent of all other words given Class :
$$P(\text{Class} \mid \mathbf{X}) = \prod_i P(w_i \mid \text{Class}) P(\text{Class}) / P(\mathbf{X})$$
- Now only 200,001 parameters for $P(\text{Class} \mid \mathbf{X})$

Naïve Bayes Assumption

- Features are conditionally independent given class
 - *Not* $P(\text{"Republican"}, \text{"Democrat"}) = P(\text{"Republican"})P(\text{"Democrat"})$
but instead
$$P(\text{"Republican"}, \text{"Democrat"} \mid \text{Class} = \text{Politics}) =$$
$$P(\text{"Republican"} \mid \text{Class} = \text{Politics})P(\text{"Democrat"} \mid \text{Class} = \text{Politics})$$
- Generally an absurd assumption
 - (“Lottery”, “Winner” \perp SPAM)? (“lunch”, “noon” \perp Not SPAM)?
- But: offers massive tractability advantages and works quite well in practice
 - Lesson: Overly strong independence assumptions can be okay, and sometimes allow you to build a model where you otherwise couldn't

Getting the parameters from data

- Parameters $\theta = \langle \theta_{ij} = P(w_i | \text{Class} = j) \rangle$
- Maximum Likelihood: Estimate $P(w_i | \text{Class} = j)$ from D by counting
 - Fraction of documents in class j containing word i
 - But if word i never occurs in class j ?
- MAP estimate:
 - $$\frac{(\# \text{ docs in class } j \text{ with word } i) + 1}{(\# \text{ docs in class } j) + |V|}$$

Caveats

- Naïve Bayes effective as a *classifier*
- **Not** as effective in producing probability estimates
 - $\prod_i P(w_i | Class)$ pushes estimates toward 0 or 1
- In practice, numerical underflow is typical at classification time
 - Compare sum of logs instead of product